

PDC 2025
Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa

Please submit your abstract at <https://iaaspace.org/pdc>.

(please select the topic that best fits your abstract from the list below)
(you may also add a general comment - see end of this document)

Ongoing and Upcoming Mission Highlights

Apophis T-4 Years

Hypothetical Asteroid Threat Exercise

Key International and Political Developments

Near-Earth Object (NEO) Discovery

NEO Characterization

Deflection & Disruption Modeling and Testing

Space Mission & Campaign Design

Earth Impact Effects & Consequences

Disaster Management & Impact Response

Public Education and Communication

The Decision to Act: Political, Legal, Social, and Economic Aspects

Results from the EU-ESA workshop on size determination of potentially hazardous near-Earth objects

Maxime Devogele^{1,*}, Juan Luis Cano², Richard Moissl¹, Luca Conversi¹, Marco Fenucci¹, Dora Föhring¹, Marco Micheli¹, Rainer Kresken², Francisco Ocaña³, Ernesto Doeling²

Keywords: Photometry, Polarimetry, Thermal IR, Size, Albedo (maximum of 5)

In November 2024, ESA's Planetary Defence Office (PDO) organized the EU-ESA workshop on size determination of potentially hazardous near-Earth objects, held at ESOC in Germany [1]. The workshop explored how the minor body community can improve the size determination of NEOs. For an Earth impacting object, its size is the key factor in assessing potential ground damage [2]. Newly discovered objects lack detailed physical information and their size is poorly defined. This can lead to scenarios where an object may either be considered harmless, or require the evacuation of populated areas.

Key representatives from the photometric, thermal infrared, polarimetric, and stellar occultation communities were gathered. For each field, review talks were provided and methods to obtain the size or the albedo were presented and discussed.

Asteroid size estimates from photometric observations are often poorly characterized due inconsistent data. Size is derived from the absolute H_V magnitude, geometric albedo p_V , and equivalent diameter [3], but determining H_V requires phase curve modeling and low phase angle observations [4], which are often not available for NEOs. Even low phase angles can be observed, data remain sparse. To address this, surveys could target fields where known objects are at their lowest phase angle, optimizing observation sequences without reducing overall scientific returns. Additionally modern model

*Corresponding author

Email address: maxime.devogele@ext.esa.int (Maxime Devogele)

¹ESA ESRRIN / PDO / NEO Coordination Centre, Via Galileo Galilei, 1, 00044 Frascati (RM), Italy, neocc@esa.int

²ESA ESOC / PDO, Robert-Bosch-Straße 5, 64293 Darmstadt, Germany

³ESA ESAC / PDO, Cno. Bajo del Castillo, 28692 Vca. del Castillo, Madrid, Spain

like H , G_1 , G_2 , [5] should be utilized, as they can provide albedo information when sufficient data is available to constrain the G parameters.

In thermal infrared, the size is obtained directly by modeling the absolute thermal emission flux of the object, which depends on its temperature and size [6]. The community stresses that it is important to remember that thermal infrared measures the size and not the albedo [7]. The result of the discussion points to the need for a consolidated database aggregating all the thermal infrared observations obtained not only by all the space missions but also by the ground-based observatories.

The main advantage of polarimetric observations is their ability to determine an object's albedo independently of its size [8]. Unlike both the H_V absolute magnitude, and thermal infrared observations, polarization is not affected by the object's instantaneous cross-section on the sky. Polarimetry was recognized as the preferred technique to obtain albedos of NEOS. While H_V estimates from photometric observations remains the simplest method for determining the size of NEOs, efforts should focus on equipping large telescopes with more polarimeters. This would improve the albedo-polarimetry calibration, and allow polarimetric observations of recently discovered, potentially hazardous objects. Notably, while H_V is still needed to estimate an object's size, combining polarimetric and thermal observations may allow full characterization of an object without it.

Stellar occultation was also highlighted as a crucial technique to obtain direct size measurement of NEOs.

We would like to deeply thank all the participants of the workshop without whom this work would not have been possible. The list of participants, the presentations and the recordings of the talks can be found on the website of the event[1].

References

- [1] EU-ESA workshop on size determination of potentially hazardous near-Earth objects, European Commission and ESA's Planetary Defence Office, ESOC, Darmstadt, Germany, <https://indico.esa.int/event/530/>.
- [2] D. L. Mathias, L. F. Wheeler, J. L. Dotson, A probabilistic asteroid impact risk model: assessment of sub-300 m impacts, *Icarus* 289 (2017) 106–119.
- [3] E. Bowell, B. Hapke, D. Domingue, K. Lumme, J. Peltoniemi, A. W. Harris, Application of photometric models to asteroids, in: R. P. Binzel, T. Gehrels, M. S. Matthews (Eds.), *Asteroids II*, University of Arizona Press, 1989, pp. 524–556.
- [4] M. Mahlke, B. Carry, L. Denneau, Asteroid phase curves from atlas dual-band photometry, *Icarus* 354 (2021) 114094.
- [5] K. Muinonen, I. N. Belskaya, A. Cellino, M. Delbò, A.-C. Levasseur-Regourd, A. Penttilä, E. F. Tedesco, A three-parameter magnitude phase function for asteroids, *Icarus* 209 (2010) 542–555.
- [6] A. W. Harris, J. S. V. Lagerros, Asteroids in the thermal infrared, *Asteroids III* (2002) 205–218.
- [7] J. R. Masiero, E. Wright, A. Mainzer, Uncertainties on asteroid albedos determined by thermal modeling, *The Planetary Science Journal* 2 (2021) 32.
- [8] A. Cellino, S. Bagnulo, R. Gil-Hutton, P. Tanga, M. Cañada-Assandri, E. Tedesco, On the calibration of the relation between geometric albedo and polarimetric properties for the asteroids, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* 451 (2015) 3473–3488.